Phytochemistry, 1972, Vol. 11, pp. 1180 to 1181. Pergamon Press. Printed in England.

## **EBENACEAE**

#### STEROLS AND TRITERPENES OF DIOSPYROS MONTANA

P. K. DUTTA, N. L. DUTTA and R. N. CHAKRAVARTI

Indian Institute of Experimental Medicine, Calcutta-32, India

(Received 21 July 1971)

Plant. Diospyros montana. Uses. Medicinal. 1-3 Previous work. On stem bark.4

The dried leaves of the plant were extracted with light petroleum and the extract was chromatographed on neutral alumina. Light petroleum-benzene (4:1) fraction afforded lupeol,  $C_{30}H_{50}O$  (m.p., m.m.p.,  $[\alpha]_D$ , IR, TLC; m.p., m.m.p. and  $[\alpha]_D$  of acetate). Light petroleum-benzene (1:2) fraction afforded sitosterol,  $C_{29}H_{50}O$  (m.p., m.m.p. and  $[\alpha]_D$ ; m.p., m.m.p. and  $[\alpha]_D$  of acetate) and stigmasterol,  $C_{29}H_{48}O$  (m.p., m.m.p. and  $[\alpha]_D$ ; m.p., m.m.p. and  $[\alpha]_D$  of acetate).

Benzene-ether (4:1) fraction afforded epi-uvaol, a new triterpene (I),  $C_{30}H_{50}O_2$  (M<sup>+</sup> 442), m.p. 212-214°,  $[a]_D$  +49·7°,  $\nu_{max}^{Nujol}$  3300 cm<sup>-1</sup> (—OH) and betulin,  $C_{30}H_{50}O_2$  (m.p., m.m.p.,  $[a]_D$ , IR; m.p., m.m.p. and  $[a]_D$  of acetate). They are separated by chromatography of their acetates on AgNO<sub>3</sub> impregnated silica gel.<sup>5</sup>

The triterpene (I) forms an amorphous diacetate,  $C_{34}H_{54}O_4$  (M<sup>+</sup> 526), m.p. 116°,  $\nu_{max}^{Nujol}$  1725 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1260 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). The NMR spectrum shows methyl signals at  $\delta$  0.91 (S, 9H), 1.02 (S, 3H), 1.04 (S, 6H) and 1.15 (S, 3H) ppm. The spectrum also shows the characteristic signals at  $\delta$  2.11 (S, 6H, 2X-OCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.98 (q, 2H, —CH<sub>2</sub>OAC), 4.65 (dd, 1H,  $\rangle$  CHOAC) and at 5.31 (t, 1H, —CH=C $\langle$ ) ppm. The mass fragmentation pattern of the triterpene is typical of  $\Delta^{12}$ -ursene or  $\Delta^{12}$ -oleanene skeleton.<sup>6</sup> The mass spectrum shows prominent ions at m/e 442, 76% (M<sup>+</sup>); 427, 20% (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>); 424, 21.5% (M<sup>+</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O); 411, 66% (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH); 393, 8% (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH-H<sub>2</sub>O); 234, 68% (Fragment a); 216, 51% (a-H<sub>2</sub>O); 203, 100% (a-CH<sub>2</sub>OH); 207, 78% (Fragment b); 189, 70% (a-CH<sub>2</sub>OH-C-2H and b-H<sub>2</sub>O).

The triterpene (I) on oxidn. with Jones reagent<sup>7</sup> followed by esterification with  $CH_2N_2$  and subsequent purification by chromatography on silica gel furnished a compd.; m.p.  $192^{\circ}$ ,  $[a]_D + 78^{\circ}$ ,  $\nu_{max}^{Nujol} 1700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (>C=O),  $1730 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (—COOCH<sub>3</sub>), identical with methyl ursonate (m.p., m.m.p.,  $[a]_D$ , IR, TLC). This indicated the triterpene to be an epimer of uvaol, urs-12-ene-3 $\alpha$ , 28-diol (I) isolated for the first time from nature. This was further confirmed by comparison of the triterpene with an authentic sample of uvaol (urs-12-ene-3 $\beta$ , 28-diol) prepared by the reduction of methyl ursonate with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> and the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. N. Chopra, S. L. Nayar and I. C. Chopra, Glossary of Indian Medicinal Plants, p. 99, Council of Scientific & Industrial Research, New Delhi (1956).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> B. N. SASTRI, *The Wealth of India, Raw Materials*, Vol. III, p. 84, Council of Scientific & Industrial Research, New Delhi (1952).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> K. R. KIRTIKAR and B. D. BASU, *Indian Medicinal Plants*, Vol. 2 (revised), p. 1500, L. M. BASU, Allahabad (1933).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> R. S. Kapil and M. M. Dhar, J. Sci. Industr. Res. 20B, 498 (1961).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> B. DE VRIES, J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 40, 184 (1963).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> H. Budwikiewicz, J. M. Wilson and C. Djerassi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85, 3688 (1963).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> R. G. Curtis, I. Hellbron, E. R. H. Jones and G. F. Woods, J. Chem. Soc. 461 (1953).

naturally occurring epimer was found to be different (TLC of the corresponding acetate on AgNO<sub>3</sub> impregnated silica gel).

Benzene-ether (1:1) eluate afforded oleanolic acid,  $C_{30}H_{48}O_3$  (m.p., m.m.p.,  $[a]_D$ , IR; m.p., m. m.p.,  $[a]_D$  and IR of its methyl ester).

Acknow.edgements—The authors thank the Director, National Chemical Laboratory, Poona, for the mass spectra and the micro-analyses of the compounds and Dr. M. M. Dhar, Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow, for the NMR.

Key Word Index—Diospyros montana; Ebenaceae; sterols; epi-uvaol; oleanolic acid.

Phytochemistry, 1972, Vol. 11, pp. 1181 to 1182 Pergamon Press. Printed in England.

## LAURACEAE

# TERPENES FROM ACTINODAPHNE, MACHILUS AND NEOLITSEA SPECIES

#### H. Komae and N. Hayashi

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of General Education, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan

(Received 20 September 1971)

Plant. Actinodaphne lancifolia (Sieb. et Zucc.) Meisn. Date. Collected in July 1971. Source. Kochi Prefecture, Japan. Uses. None known. Previous work. None.

Leaves. The essential oil (458 mg,  $n_D^{25}$  1·4877, 0·0015% yield) was obtained by steam distillation from fresh leaves (3·0 kg). The individual constituents were isolated by preparative GLC (Carbowax 20 M at 160°) and identified by IR and NMR spectra: cis-ocimene (8·2%), trans-ocimene (2·5%), caryophyllene (10·0%,  $\alpha,\beta$ -selinene (28·9%), unidentified (50·4%).

Plant. Actinodaphne longifolia (Blume). Date. Collected in August 1971. Source. Kagoshima Prefecture, Japan. Uses. None known. Previous work. None.

Leaves. The essential oil (6.52 g,  $n_0^{25}$  1.5032, 0.065% yield) was obtained from fresh leaves (5.5 kg) by steam distillation. The individual constituents were isolated by preparative GLC (Carbowax 20 M-20% at 170°) and identified by IR and NMR spectra: *cis*-ocimene (0.73%), *trans*-ocimene (3.4%), caryophyllene (8.3%),  $\beta$ -elemene (7.5%), unidentified (80.1%).